

	1 9 2 01 33
	Page 2
1	United States Bankruptcy Court
2	One Bowling Green
3	New York, NY 10004
4	
5	August 29, 2018
6	10:06 AM
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON STUART M. BERNSTEIN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: SHEA

Page 3 1 HEARING re Twenty-Seventh Application Of Trustee And Baker & 2 Hostetler LLP For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Actual And Necessary 3 4 Expenses Incurred From December 1, 2017 Through March 31, 5 2018 6 7 HEARING re Application Of Schiltz & Schiltz As Special 8 Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation 9 For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Expenses Incurred 10 From December 1, 2017 Through March 31, 2018 And For Release 11 Of A Portion Of Fees Held Back 12 13 HEARING re Application Of Higgs & Johnson (Formerly Higgs 14 Johnson Truman Bodden & Co) As Special Counsel To The 15 Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For Services 16 Rendered And Reimbursement Of Expenses Incurred From 17 December 1, 2017 Through March 31, 2018 And For Release Of A Portion Of Fees Held Back 18 19 20 HEARING re Twenty-Sixth Application of Windels Marx Lane & 21 Mittendorf, LLP for Allowance of Interim Compensation for 22 Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred From December 1, 2017 Through March 31, 23 2018 and Request for Partial Release of Holdback 24 25

Page 4 1 HEARING re Application Of Soroker Agmon Nordman As Special 2 Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Expenses Incurred 3 From December 1, 2017 Through March 31, 2018 And For Release 4 Of A Portion Of Fees Held Back 5 6 7 HEARING re Application Of Graf & Pitkowitz Rechtsnwalte Gmbh 8 As Special Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of 9 10 Expenses Incurred From December 1, 2017 Through March 31, 11 2018 And For Release Of A Portion Of Fees Held Back 12 13 HEARING re Application Of SCA Creque As Special Counsel To 14 The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For 15 Services Rendered From December 1, 2017 Through March 31, 16 2018 And For Release Of A Portion Of Fees Held Back 17 HEARING re Application Of Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, 18 19 LLP As Special Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of 20 Interim Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement 21 Of Actual And Necessary Expenses Incurred From December 1, 22 2017 Through March 31, 2018 And For Release Of A Portion Of 23 Fees Previously Held Back 24 25

Page 5 1 HEARING re Application Of Williams, Barristers & Attorneys 2 As Special Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For Services Rendered From December 1, 2017 3 Through March 31, 2018 And For Release Of A Portion Of Fees 4 5 Held Back 6 7 HEARING re Application Of UGGC & Associes As Special Counsel 8 To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For 9 Services Rendered From December 1, 2017 Through March 31, 10 2018 And For Release Of A Portion Of Fees Held Back 11 12 HEARING re Application Of Browne Jacobson, LLP As Special 13 Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For Services Rendered And Reimbursement Of Actual And 14 15 Necessary Expenses Incurred From December 1, 2017 Through 16 March 31, 2018 And For Release Of A Portion Of Fees Held 17 Back 18 19 HEARING re Application Of Eugene F. Collins As Special 20 Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation 21 For Services Rendered From December 1, 2017 Through March 22 31, 2018 And For Release Of A Portion Of Fees Held Back 23 24 25

Page 6 1 HEARING re Application Of Ritter Schierscher Rechtsanwalte 2 As Special Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For Services Rendered From December 1, 2017 3 Through March 31, 2018 And For Release Of A Portion Of Fees 4 5 Held Back 6 7 HEARING re Application Of Robbins, Russell, Englert, Orseck, 8 Untereiner & Sauber LLP As Special Counsel To The Trustee 9 For Allowance Of Interim Compensation For Services Rendered 10 From December 1, 2017 Through March 31, 2018 And For Release 11 Of A Portion Of Fees Held Back 12 HEARING re Application Of The Scaletta Law Firm, PLLC As 13 14 Special Counsel To The Trustee For Allowance Of Interim 15 Compensation For Services Rendered From December 1, 2017 16 Through March 31, 2018 And For Release Of A Portion Of Fees 17 Held Back 18 19 HEARING re Application for Interim Professional Compensation 20 / Spaulding & Landreth, PC As Special Counsel To The Trustee 21 For A Release Of A Portion Of Fees Previously Held For The 22 Prior Compensation Periods 23 24 25

Page 7 1 HEARING re Application Of Bedell Cristin Guernsey 2 Partnership As Special Counsel To The Trustee For A Release Of A Portion Of Fees Previously Held For The Prior 3 4 Compensation Periods 5 6 HEARING re Application Of Munari Giudici Maniglio Panfili e 7 Associati As Special Counsel To The Trustee For A Release Of 8 A Portion Of Fees Previously Held For The Prior Compensation 9 Periods 10 11 HEARING re Application Of Cochran Allan As Special Counsel To The Trustee For A Release Of A Portion Of Fees Previously 12 13 Held For The Prior Compensation Periods 14 15 HEARING re Application Of Kelley, Wolter & Scott, 16 Professional Association As Special Counsel To The Trustee 17 For A Release Of A Portion Of Fees Previously Held For The 18 Prior Compensation Periods 19 20 HEARING re Application Of Kugler Kandestin, LLP As Special 21 Counsel To The Trustee For A Release Of A Portion Of Fees 22 Previously Held For The Prior Compensation Periods 23 24 25

Page 8 HEARING re Application Of Werder Vigano As Special Counsel To The Trustee For A Release Of A Portion Of Fees Previously Held For The Prior Compensation Periods HEARING re 10-04468-smb Telephonic Conference re Letter of Young Conway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, dated July 12, 2018 (ECF Adv. Proc. Doc. # 106) Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

1	1 9 5 61 55
	Page 9
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	BAKER HOSTETLER
4	Attorneys for BLMIS Trustee
5	45 Rockefeller Plaza
6	New York, NY 10111
7	
8	BY: NICHOLAS J. CREMONA
9	IRVING H. PICARD
10	
11	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION
12	1667 K Street, N.W.
13	Suite 1000
14	Washington, DC 20006
15	
16	BY: KEVIN H. BELL
17	
18	YOUNG, CONAWAY, STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP
19	Attorneys for the Irving H. Piccard, Trustee
20	
21	BY: MATTHEW LUNN (TELEPHONICALLY)
22	MICHAEL MILBURG (TELEPHONICALLY)
23	
24	
25	

Page 10 LAW OFFICE OF MARK S. ROHER, P.A. Attorneys for Ken-Wen Family Limited 150 S. Pine Island Road, Suite 300 Plantation, FL 33324 BY: MARK S. ROHER (TELEPHONICALLY) ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY: PATRICK MOHAN DAVID J. SHEEHAN

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Please be seated. Madoff.

MR. CREMONA: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning. Nicholas Cremona of Baker & Hostetler appearing on behalf of the Trustee.

We're here this morning, Your Honor, on the return date for the 27th application for interim compensation for the Trustee and his counsel and all related professionals that have assisted the Trustee in this enormous effort in this liquidation, both domestically and internationally during the period of December 2017 and March 2018.

I know Your Honor is aware of the significant activity that has occurred during this interim period. Some of the work was done during this period that led to successes outside this period. And if Your Honor would allow me, I will just go over it, at a high level, some of those successes and the events that have taken a significant amount of our time during the period.

For example, the Trustee reached a settlement, as

I know Your Honor is aware, with -- in the Merkin case with

Ascot Partners, Ezra Merkin and some related entities. That

settlement resulted in a \$280 million contribution to the

fund of customer property, which inures directly to the

benefit of the net loser victims that have claims in this

case.

During the period the Trustee and his counsel litigated extensively, filed motions in limine that Your Honor decided, in contemplation of a trial that was supposed to occur in June of 2018. We also participated, before Your Honor, on settlement conferences that led to the settlement I mentioned.

An additional matter that took up a significant amount of our time during the period is the Kingate matter, where the Trustee is seeking to recover -- to avoid to recover over \$926 million. The Trustee is continuing to work on discovery, both domestically and abroad. I know Your Honor is aware of the -- has issued letters permitting discovery in foreign courts such as Bermuda and the UK, that continues to be a significant undertaking. And just to bring the Court up-to-date, the Trustee continues to conduct depositions abroad and has done several over the last month.

Next, Your Honor, we have, as I know you're aware, significantly litigated and resolved several of the good faith, or as we refer to them "the innocent investor avoidance actions." At the beginning of the interim period at issue we had 209 cases pending, during the period we resolved approximately 30, to bring that progress up-to-date as we stand here today, we have approximately 151 cases left at issue, and then we continue to resolve and settle cases through mediation and otherwise on a weekly basis.

And just to bring Your Honor up-to-date, we were
- we are mindful of the guidance we received last month as

to a motion and to bringing cases forward. We have several

cases that are trial ready, which we will bring to the Court

in the near term, and we are evaluating a motion and the

procedural posture of the remaining cases to determine

whether to seek additional or limited additional discovery

on the balance of the cases.

Next, Your Honor, we have spent a considerable amount of time on the extraterritoriality appeal. Several briefs were filed during the interim period. To bring the Court up-to-date, as of right now the matter is fully briefed and pending before the circuit. The clerk of the court has reached out to the parties to determine whether oral argument in November would be acceptable -- or --

THE COURT: Convenient.

MR. CREMONA: What's that? Convenient to the parties. That has yet to be scheduled, but we fully expect to have argument by year end.

Another matter I know Your Honor is intimately familiar with, we had the profit withdrawal trial and that was before the Court, significant briefing and a trial actually occurred in January, and post-trial briefs were completed in March. And just to bring the Court up-to-date, to the extent you're not already aware, after Your Honor

decided in the Trustee's favor, that matter was appealed and has now been assigned to Judge Engelmayer. And we will be filing out designation --

THE COURT: He's becoming very familiar with these cases.

MR. CREMONA: Yes. And so some of those positive and successful recoveries have led to significant successes by the Trustee and as a result of his overall efforts, which go well beyond those which we've highlighted here today, the Trustee has been able to recover \$13.28 billion for the fund of customer property, which represents approximately 75 percent of the \$17.5 billion lost by those victims of the Ponzi Scheme that filed claims. And as a result, after accounting for adequate reserves for issues that have yet to be resolved by final order, the Trustee has been able to distribute \$11.9 billion to the victims, and that represents 63.9 cents on the dollar of each allowed claim.

And just to highlight a few of the firm that, in addition to Baker Hostetler and Windels, that have expended significant time during this period, just for Your Honor's benefit, happen to be international firms. We had the Soroker Agmon Nordman firm, which is assisting the Trustee in Israel in prosecuting two actions there, and they relate to the magnified defendants as I know Your Honor is familiar, as you issued a decision in the last year on

denying the Defendant's motion to dismiss.

We also had the Williams Barrister firm that is assisting the Trustee in Bermuda in monitoring third party actions there and prosecuting claims.

Lastly, we have -- or in addition we have the Browne Jacobson firm that is assisting in the UK, particularly on the Kingate matter and assisting with discovery and monitoring actions there, as well as in the BVI.

Lastly, Your Honor, I'd mention our co-counsel, the Windels Marks firm, who in addition to being conflicts counsel here has been integrally involved in the ET appeal and other matters and has been invaluable to -- in assisting us.

There's one minor issue I would point out for the Court with respect to the Windels fee application. We noticed a discrepancy in the application that we wanted to point out. Ultimately I think it's a clerical error that doesn't have any impact, but we, again, wanted to point it out. Throughout the application, the amount of the total compensation during the period was incorrectly listed at \$1,590,899.58. It should have been listed at \$1,954,132.

But I would point out that at various places in the application it was correct. It was correct in the exhibit, but more important than that, in the -- in all

places, in the application and the notice that went to all parties, the amount of -- the 80 percent amount, which is the amount that we're seeking approval of and payment here today, was correct in all places. So as you'll see, Your Honor, \$1,563,305.60 is listed everywhere that we're asking for payment of the 80 percent, and that is 80 percent of the \$1.9 million amount.

So we point this out because it is a clerical error and we wanted the Court to be aware, but we'll correct it going forward. But I will would say that it -- there was no objection, the correct amount was noticed to all parties, and SIPC approved the amount as listed.

THE COURT: I was going to ask if SIPC was aware of its clerical error.

MR. BELL: Your Honor, we have the correct amount that's in Exhibit B and we also have the correct 80 percent amount because we monitor every penny.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. CREMONA: So Your Honor, absent any further questions, given the progress and success during the interim compensation period and the efforts expended, I would respectfully request that the applications be allowed.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Bell? You're going to give me the count, right?

MR. BELL: Your Honor, I stand under what you

asked last December, today is day 3,549. And in light of your request last December, it is 85,176 hours at the current dock. I do have the minutes and seconds, if you want me to go that far.

THE COURT: Well, they're going faster so we'll never get out of here.

MR. BELL: Well, we're there, and in light of your hearing on -- last month, on July 25th, and Mr. Cremona's comments, it looks like we'll be having a very active docket on these innocent investor cases. So that should be moving us forward.

And as Mr. Cremona noted, and you and I have had this dialogue before, there are possibilities that at some point in time the SIPC recommendation will be a recommendation and not under the statute, as we get closer.

Mr. Cremona's noted 75 percent and there are significant matters that are going into mediation with the mediator being a former bankruptcy judge on this bench. So you know, that could, within the next year, cause that number to jump tremendously higher.

But I would note, as I always do for the record, that we deal with the SIPC statute here with various sections and the standard is set forth in -- this is a no asset case at this point in time, because we're still below the 100 percent, and at this point in time we do not see any

reasonable expectation, other than in the wishes and desires and intention of the statute, that we could get above 100 percent. What occurs during either the week of November 13th and the argument could have a major effect, a circuit decision on extraterritoriality comity could have a significant effect. Judge Engelmayer's decision, it was argued yesterday, and there was a discussion regarding prejudgment interest at the tail end and who does that, whether it comes back for an R&R here or whether he does that was the last matter discussed after the argument. So we'll see what he does. And there was mention, and he asked the question, and it was noted that Andrew Cohen was tried and Judge Swain accepted the R&R and issued an order accepting that. So I think that there is movement forward that could move us forward with regard to that.

And when Mr. Cremona said 151 cases, I would note to the Court, that there are 105 adversary proceedings that made objections to what I call the omnibus proof of the Ponzi motion, which leaves a number of 46. There are nine to ten of those matters where there's a settlement in principle, so you have 35 or so adversary proceedings that are active. There are a number of those that are coming up for mediation in the period of time, so as we move forward, and Your Honor was, I think, very clear, on July 25th in your instructions and putting the OPAC motion sine die that

we'll see movement. And movement means that there will be more possibilities of raising that 75 percent number to the statute ideal of getting back all the innocent victims who have not received a hundred percent, that which is always the goal here in this case.

With regard to Windels, in the SIPC recommendation we have the number. The correct number is at page 17, paragraph 21 for the Court's reference, in the text. It's also in Exhibit B of the attachment. So if you look at page 17, top line of paragraph 21 on that page, you will see the correct number which is the number we used. It's incorrect in the beginning and in the wherefore, but it's correct there and it's correct in Exhibit B, and we used that because it reconciles with the number we have in our monthly oversight of all time spent in this case.

As I said before, SIPC reviews, I review each and every entry of each and every invoice of all 22 counsel here, and then that's -- that review is reviewed by the general counsel and the SIPC makes -- takes a position. So we monitor this case almost on a daily, if not hourly, basis as to where it's going and the time records help us to understand where this case is.

Based on that, we would ask the Court, based on your recommendations, to approve all these fee applications.

Does the Court have any questions?

Page 20 1 THE COURT: No. Does anyone else want to be heard 2 in connection with the fee applications? Hearing no 3 response, I'll approve the fee applications. In light of what appears to be the likelihood that the customer estate 4 will be insolvent in the sense of customers will not receive 5 6 -- or net losers will not receive 100 percent, SIPC's 7 recommendation and statute mandate. So you can submit an order. Thank you. 8 9 MR. CREMONA: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: You have one other matter before you That's the -- well, this is with Young Conaway, I 11 go. 12 guess. 13 This is the Ken-Wen matter. Parties on the phone? 14 MR. NEIBURG: Good morning, Your Honor. This is 15 Michael Neiburg from Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor on 16 behalf of Irving Picard as Trustee, Claimant in the Ken-Wen 17 adversary. 18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Roher, are you on the 19 phone? 20 COURTROOM OPERATOR: Your Honor, there was no 21 check in from Attorney Mark S. Roher. 22 THE COURT: Um hmm. He's not on the phone? 23 COURTROOM OPERATOR: No, Your Honor, he's not. You stated Mark S. R-O-H-E-R? 24 25 THE COURT: Yes.

Page 21 1 COURTROOM OPERATOR: Yes. No, sir, he's not on 2 line at this time. And he did not check in this morning at all. 3 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you inform Mr. 4 5 Roher I'm going to deny his motion without prejudice. 6 didn't submit a memorandum of law and he raises a 7 significant legal issue, that is whether the -- his 8 liability as a general partner arises when the fraudulent 9 transfer occurs or when a judgment is entered and he 10 contends he's no longer a partner at that point. 11 So I'll deny his motion without prejudice based upon his failure to submit a memorandum of law as required 12 13 by the local rules and based upon his failure to attend this 14 telephonic conference. You can submit an order. 15 MR. NEIBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 (Court attends other matters) COURTROOM OPERATOR: We just had a check-in at 18 10:26 for Mark Roher. He is on line now. Would you care 19 20 for his line to be opened in the matter of Irving Picard 21 Trust versus Ken-Wen Family --22 THE COURT: Is Mr. -- well, is the representative 23 of Young Conaway still on the phone? 24 MR. NEIBURG: I am, Your Honor. I'm glad I stayed 25 here.

Page 22 1 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear this. 2 COURTROOM OPERATOR: Your Honor, I have a question as well? 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 5 COURTROOM OPERATOR: Are these two cases are they 6 related, the Securities Investment Protection Corporation 7 and the Ken-Wen Family case? 8 THE COURT: They are related in the sense that the 9 Securities Protection case is the umbrella Madoff case and 10 these are all adversary proceedings within that larger case. 11 COURTROOM OPERATOR: Yes, Your Honor. Okay. 12 Well, Mark's line is open at this time along with Matthew 13 substitute Michael. 14 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Roher? 15 MR. ROHER: Yes, Your Honor. Mark Roher, R-O-H-E-16 R, on behalf of Defendant Kenneth W. Brown. I apologize for 17 the confusion, Your Honor. I had some issues connecting. I 18 really do apologize for any inconvenience. 19 THE COURT: Well, what I said before you got on 20 the phone was I was inclined or actually I did deny your 21 motion without prejudice because you haven't submitted a 22 memorandum of law and you've raised a significant legal issue, that is a partner -- is the liability of a partner 23 determined at the time of the fraudulent transfer or is it 24 25 determined at the time of judgment is entered avoiding that

Page 23 1 transfer. 2 MR. ROHER: Okay. Would you like me to submit -what would you like me to do, Your Honor? I mean, I'm not 3 going to -- if that's what -- if you've ruled that way, I'm 4 5 not going to obviously --6 THE COURT: Yeah, in addition -- look, in addition 7 you've submitted matters outside the pleadings and this is a 8 motion to dismiss, so I can't consider those matters. 9 allegations in the complaint are that Mr. Brown was a 10 partner and you know, that's a legal sufficient allegation, 11 it's an allegation of fact. So I can't consider --12 MR. ROHER: I understand, Judge. 13 THE COURT: -- the exhibits you gave me, or Ms. 14 Brown's affidavit. And frankly, all they say is that he 15 wasn't a partner in 2011, all of the transfers were before 16 then. What do you want to do with your motion? Do you want 17 the Trustee to respond to your motion? Because I'm probably 18 not going to grant it because of these insufficiencies? 19 MR. ROHER: Well, that's fine, Judge. I accept 20 your ruling. 21 THE COURT: Why don't you do --? Can I make a 22 suggestion? 23 MR. ROHER: Of course. 24 THE COURT: Withdraw your motion without

prejudice. If you want to make a motion based on the issue

I've identified, or any other issue that you think is relevant, in connection with a motion to dismiss under 12(b)(6) you can certainly do that. But don't provide me with affidavits and witnesses about whether Mr. Brown was or was not a partner because the complaint alleges that he was or you can make a motion for Summary Judgment and raise the same issues and then you can submit materials outside the pleadings.

MR. ROHER: Okay. I understand, judge. What exactly is your ruling, just so I understand?

THE COURT: Well, if you want the trustee to respond to your motion, I'll set a schedule, but I'm probably going to deny your motion for the reasons stated.

Rather than --

MR. ROHER: I don't want -- sorry.

THE COURT: Rather than go through that exercise,

I'm suggesting you withdraw your motion and if you think you have a legal basis to make the motion on the theory that -well, I'm not even sure you could prevail. You said he's not now a partner since the complaint alleges he is a partner. Maybe you ought to think about making a motion for Summary Judgment provided on the legal issue that you're right that there's no liability until the judgment of avoidance is entered, and since he's not a partner at that point, he's not liable.

Page 25 1 I understand, judge. Do you think it MR. ROHER: 2 -- just for -- and I don't want to make the trustee expend 3 funds on this matter. I'm not trying to do that, Your 4 Honor. I'm not trying to play games or anything, so I'm 5 going to going to require or ask that the Court do that. 6 Maybe the easiest way is just for the motion to be 7 -- well, I can withdraw the motion, but I guess the issue is 8 can I have 30 days to file an answer? 9 THE COURT: Let me ask. Mr. Lundy, do you have 10 any objection to the withdrawal of the motion without 11 prejudice. 12 MR. NEIBURG: Your Honor, it's Michael Neiburg. I 13 substitute for Mr. Lunn. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. NEIBURG: Now, I think a denial, withdraw 16 without prejudice and giving the defendant 30 days to either 17 answer the complaint or to refile whatever motion defendant 18 deems sufficient would be fine with the trustee, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Mr. Roher, here is 20 what I want you to do, submit an order to me, a post-order with a copy to Mr. Neiburg which deems the motion withdrawn 21 22 and gives you 30 days from today to file an answer or make a 23 motion with respect to the complaint. Okay? 24 MR. ROHER: Thank you. 25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, gentlemen.

Page 26 1 Thank you for letting me appear by MR. ROHER: 2 phone, judge. I appreciate it and I apologize for --3 THE COURT: All right. It's probably hotter up here than it is down there. 4 5 MR. ROHER: Yes, possibly. It may not rain as 6 much up there as it does down here. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 8 MR. ROHER: Anyway, thank you again. I really 9 appreciate it, judge. Thank you. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10 11 10:43 AM) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 27 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Sonya Ledanski Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski Hyde DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o, ou, 6 email=digital@veritext.com, c=US Hyde Date: 2019.04.22 12:46:07 -04'00' 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 August 30, 2018 Date:

[& - asking] Page 1

0	•	0	6:2,9,14
&	2	8	allowed 14:17
& 3:1,7,13,14,20	20006 9:14	80 16:2,6,6,16	16:22
4:7,18 5:1,7 6:8	2011 23:15	85,176 17:2	amount 11:18
6:20 7:15 8:6 9:18	2017 3:4,10,17,23	9	12:8 13:10 15:20
11:5 20:15	4:4,10,15,22 5:3,9	926 12:10	16:2,2,3,7,11,12
0	5:15,21 6:3,10,15		16:15,17
08-01789 1:4	11:11	a	andrew 18:12
08-99000 1:3	2018 2:5 3:5,10,17	able 14:10,15	answer 25:8,17,22
0f 4:3,19	3:24 4:4,11,16,22	abroad 12:11,16	anyway 26:8
1	5:4,10,16,22 6:4	absent 16:19	apologize 22:16
	6:10,16 8:6 11:11	accept 23:19	22:18 26:2
1 3:4,10,17,23 4:4	12:4 27:25	acceptable 13:15	appeal 13:10
4:10,15,21 5:3,9	209 12:21	accepted 18:13	15:12
5:15,21 6:3,10,15	21 19:8,10	accepting 18:14	
1,563,305.60 16:5	22 19:17	accounting 14:14	appealed 14:1 appear 26:1
1,590,899.58.	25th 17:8 18:24	accurate 27:4	appear 20.1 appearing 11:5
15:22	27th 11:7	actions 12:20	
1,954,132 15:22	280 11:22	14:23 15:4,8	appears 20:4
1.9 16:7	29 2:5	active 17:9 18:22	application 3:1,7
10-04468 1:13 8:5	3	activity 11:13	3:13,20 4:1,7,13
100 17:25 18:2	3,549 17:1	actual 3:3,22 4:21	4:18 5:1,7,12,19
20:6	30 12:22 25:8,16	5:14	6:1,7,13,19 7:1,6
1000 9:13	25:22 27:25	addition 14:19	7:11,15,20 8:1
10004 2:3	300 10:3 27:22	15:5,11 23:6,6	11:7 15:16,17,20
10111 9:6	31 3:4,10,17,23	additional 12:7	15:24 16:1
105 18:17	4:4,10,15,22 5:4,9	13:7,7	applications 16:22 19:24 20:2
106 8:7	5:16,22 6:4,10,16	adequate 14:14	
10:06 2:6	330 27:21	adv 8:7	20:3
10:26 21:19	33324 10:4	adversary 18:17	appreciate 26:2,9
10:43 26:11	35 18:21	18:21 20:17 22:10	approval 16:3
11.9 14:16		affidavit 23:14	approve 19:24 20:3
11501 27:23	4	affidavits 24:4	
12 8:6 24:3	45 9:5	agmon 4:1 14:22	approved 16:12
13.28 14:10	46 18:19	al 1:10	approximately
13th 18:4	6	allan 7:11	12:22,23 14:11
150 10:3	6 24:3	allegation 23:10	argued 18:7
151 12:23 18:16	63.9 14:17	23:11	argument 13:15
1667 9:12	7	allegations 23:9	13:19 18:4,10
17 19:7,10		alleges 24:5,20	arises 21:8
17.5 14:12	75 14:11 17:16	allow 11:16	ascot 11:21
	19:2	allowance 3:2,8	asked 17:1 18:11
		3:15,21 4:2,8,14	asking 16:5
		4:19 5:2,8,13,20	
	Veriteyt Lea		

[asset - court] Page 2

asset 17:24	beginning 12:20 19:12	cents 14:17	connection 20:2
assigned 14:2		certainly 24:3	24:2
assisted 11:9	behalf 11:5 20:16	certified 27:3	consider 23:8,11 considerable 13:9
assisting 14:22	22:16	check 20:21 21:2	
15:3,6,7,13	bell 9:16 16:15,23	21:18	contemplation 12:3
associati 7:7	16:25 17:7	circuit 13:13 18:4	
association 7:16	bench 17:18	claim 14:17	contends 21:10
associes 5:7	benefit 11:24	claimant 20:16	continue 12:24
attachment 19:9	14:21	claims 11:24	continues 12:14
attend 21:13	bermuda 12:13	14:13 15:4	12:15
attends 21:17	15:3	clear 18:24	continuing 12:10
attorney 20:21	bernard 1:10,16	clerical 15:18	contribution
attorneys 5:1 9:4	bernstein 2:22	16:8,14	11:22
9:19 10:2	beyond 14:9	clerk 13:13	convenient 13:16
august 2:5 27:25	billion 14:10,12 14:16	closer 17:15	13:17
avoid 12:9		cochran 7:11	conway 8:6
avoidance 12:20	blmis 9:4	cohen 18:12	copy 25:21
24:24	bodden 3:14	collins 5:19	corporation 1:7
avoiding 22:25	bowling 2:2	comes 18:9	9:11 22:6
aware 11:12,20	briefed 13:13	coming 18:22	correct 15:24,24
12:12,17 13:25	briefing 13:22	comity 18:5	16:4,9,11,15,16
16:9,13	briefs 13:11,23	comments 17:9	19:7,11,12,13
b	bring 12:15,22	compensation 3:2	counsel 3:8,14 4:2
b 2:21 16:16 19:9	13:1,4,11,24	3:8,15,21 4:2,9,14	4:8,13,19 5:2,7,13
19:13 24:3	bringing 13:3	4:20 5:3,8,13,20	5:20 6:2,8,14,20
back 3:11,18 4:5	brown 22:16 23:9 24:4	6:3,9,15,19,22 7:4	7:2,7,11,16,21 8:1
4:11,16,23 5:5,10		7:8,13,18,22 8:3	11:8 12:1 15:10
5:17,22 6:5,11,17	brown's 23:14	11:7 15:21 16:21	15:12 19:17,19
18:9 19:3	browne 5:12 15:6	complaint 23:9	count 16:24
baker 3:1 9:3 11:5	bvi 15:9	24:5,20 25:17,23	country 27:21
14:19	c	completed 13:24	course 23:23
balance 13:8	c 9:1 11:1 27:1,1	conaway 4:18	court 1:1 2:1 11:2
bankruptcy 1:1	call 18:18	9:18 20:11,15	11:4 12:15 13:4
2:1,23 17:18	care 21:19	21:23	13:12,14,16,22,24
barrister 15:2	case 1:3,4,13	concluded 26:10	14:4 15:16 16:9
barristers 5:1	11:20,25 17:24	conduct 12:15	16:13,18,23 17:5
based 19:23,23	19:5,15,20,22	conference 8:5	18:17 19:23,25
21:11,13 23:25	22:7,9,9,10	21:14	20:1,10,18,22,25
basis 12:25 19:20	cases 12:21,23,24	conferences 12:5	21:4,16,17,22
24:18	13:3,4,6,8 14:5	conflicts 15:11	22:1,4,8,14,19
	17:10 18:16 22:5	confusion 22:17	23:6,13,21,24
becoming 14:4	17.10 10.10 22.3	connecting 22.17	1 - 24.111225.50
becoming 14:4 bedell 7:1	cause 17:19	connecting 22:17	24:11,16 25:5,9 25:14,19,25 26:3

[court - games] Page 3

26:7	defendants 1:11	efforts 14:8 16:21	familiar 13:21
court's 19:8	1:21 14:24	either 18:3 25:16	14:4,25
court s 19.8 courtroom 20:20	denial 25:15		·
20:23 21:1,18	deny 21:5,11	engelmayer 14:2 engelmayer's	family 1:20 10:2 21:21 22:7
	22:20 24:13	18:6	far 17:4
22:2,5,11 courts 12:13	denying 15:1		faster 17:5
cremona 9:8 11:3	depositions 12:16	englert 6:7 enormous 11:9	favor 14:1
11:4 13:17 14:6	designation 14:3	entered 21:9	fee 15:16 19:24
16:19 17:12 18:16	desires 18:1	22:25 24:24	20:2,3
20:9	determine 13:6,14		fees 3:11,18 4:5
cremona's 17:8	determined 13.0,14	entry 19:17	4:11,16,23 5:4,10
17:16	22:25	error 15:18 16:9	5:16,22 6:4,11,16
creque 4:13	dialogue 17:13	16:14	6:21 7:3,8,12,17
cristin 7:1	die 18:25	estate 20:4	7:21 8:2
current 17:3	directly 11:23	estate 20.4 et 1:10 15:12	file 25:8,22
customer 11:23	discovery 12:11	eugene 5:19	filed 12:2 13:11
14:11 20:4	12:13 13:7 15:8	evaluating 13:5	14:13
customers 20:5	discrepancy	events 11:17	filing 14:3
d	15:17	exactly 24:10	final 14:15
	discussed 18:10	example 11:19	fine 23:19 25:18
d 11:1	discussion 18:7	exercise 24:16	firm 6:13 14:18
daily 19:20	dismiss 15:1 23:8	exhibit 15:25	14:22 15:2,6,11
date 11:7 12:15	24:2	16:16 19:9,13	firms 14:21
12:22 13:1,12,24	distribute 14:16	exhibits 23:13	fl 10:4
27:25	district 1:2	expect 13:18	foregoing 27:3
dated 8:6	doc 8:7	expectation 18:1	foreign 12:13
david 10:11	dock 17:3	expend 25:2	former 17:18
day 17:1	docket 17:9	expended 14:19	formerly 3:13
days 25:8,16,22	dollar 14:17	16:21	forth 17:23
dc 9:14	domestically	expenses 3:4,9,16	forward 13:3
deal 17:22	11:10 12:11	3:23 4:3,10,21	16:10 17:11 18:14
december 3:4,10	don't 23:21 24:3	5:15	18:15,23
3:17,23 4:4,10,15 4:21 5:3,9,15,21	24:15 25:2	extensively 12:2	frankly 23:14
6:3,10,15 11:11	e	extent 13:25	fraudulent 21:8
17:1,2	e 2:21,21 7:6 9:1,1	extraterritoriality	22:24
decided 12:3 14:1	11:1,1 20:24	13:10 18:5	fully 13:12,18
decision 14:25	22:15 27:1	ezra 11:21	fund 11:23 14:10
18:5,6	easiest 25:6	f	funds 25:3
deems 25:18,21	easiest 23.0 ecf 8:7	f 2:21 5:19 27:1	further 16:19
defendant 22:16	ecro 2:25	fact 23:11	g
25:16,17	effect 18:4,6	failure 21:12,13	g 11:1
defendant's 15:1	effort 11:9	faith 12:19	games 25:4
	Veriteyt I ed		

[general - leaves] Page 4

general 19:19	help 19:21	innocent 12:19	i've 24:1
21:8	he's 24:19,24,25	17:10 19:3	j
gentlemen 25:25	higgs 3:13,13	insolvent 20:5	j 9:8 10:11
getting 19:3	high 11:16	instructions 18:25	jacobson 5:12
giudici 7:6	higher 17:20	insufficiencies	15:6
give 16:24	highlight 14:18	23:18	january 13:23
given 16:20	highlighted 14:9	integrally 15:12	johnson 3:13,14
gives 25:22	hmm 20:22	intention 18:2	judge 2:23 14:2
giving 25:16	holdback 3:24	interest 18:8	17:18 18:6,13
glad 21:24	hon 2:22	interim 3:2,8,15	23:12,19 24:9
gmbh 4:7	honor 11:3,6,12	3:21 4:2,8,14,20	25:1 26:2,9
go 11:16 14:9 17:4	11:15,20 12:3,5	5:2,8,13,20 6:2,9	judgment 21:9
20:11 24:16	12:12,17 13:1,9	6:14,19 11:7,13	22:25 24:6,22,23
goal 19:5	13:20,25 14:24	12:20 13:11 16:20	1 ' '
going 16:10,13,23	15:10 16:5,15,19	international	july 8:6 17:8 18:24
17:5,17 19:21	16:25 18:24 20:9	14:21	
21:5 23:4,5,18	20:14,20,23 21:15	internationally	jump 17:19
24:13 25:5,5	21:24 22:2,11,15	11:10	june 12:4
good 11:3,4 12:18	22:17 23:3 25:4	intimately 13:20	k
20:14	25:12,18	inures 11:23	k 9:12
graf 4:7	honor's 14:20	invaluable 15:13	kandestin 7:20
grant 23:18	hostetler 3:2 9:3	investment 1:10	kelley 7:15
green 2:2	11:5 14:19	1:17 22:6	ken 1:20 10:2
guernsey 7:1	hotter 26:3	investor 1:7 9:11	20:13,16 21:21
guess 20:12 25:7	hourly 19:20	12:19 17:10	22:7
guidance 13:2	hours 17:2	invoice 19:17	kenneth 22:16
h	hundred 19:4	involved 15:12	kevin 9:16
	hyde 8:25 27:3,8	irving 1:16 9:9,19	kingate 12:8 15:7
h 1:16 9:9,16,19	i	20:16 21:20	know 11:12,20
20:24 22:15		island 10:3	12:11,17 13:20
happen 14:21	ideal 19:3	israel 14:23	14:24 17:18 23:10
hear 22:1	identified 24:1	issue 12:21,24	kugler 7:20
heard 20:1	impact 15:19	15:15 21:7 22:23	1
hearing 3:1,7,13	important 15:25	23:25 24:1,22	_
3:20 4:1,7,13,18	inclined 22:20	25:7	1 1:10,16
5:1,7,12,19 6:1,7	inconvenience	issued 12:12	landreth 6:20
6:13,19 7:1,6,11	22:18	14:25 18:13	lane 3:20
7:15,20 8:1,5 17:8	incorrect 19:11	issues 14:14 22:17	larger 22:10
20:2	incorrectly 15:21	24:7	lastly 15:5,10
held 3:11,18 4:5	incurred 3:4,9,16	it's 25:12 26:3	law 6:13 10:1 21:6
4:11,16,23 5:5,10	3:23 4:3,10,21	i'll 24:12	21:12 22:22
5:16,22 6:5,11,17	5:15	i'm 24:12,17,19	lead 1:3
6:21 7:3,8,13,17	inform 21:4	25:3,4,4	leaves 18:19
7:22 8:3		20.0,7,7	
		l	

[led - order] Page 5

lod 11.14 12.5		:1h 0.22	
led 11:14 12:5	m	milburg 9:22 million 11:22	nicholas 9:8 11:4
14:7	m 2:22		nine 18:19
ledanski 8:25 27:3	madoff 1:10,17	12:10 16:7	nordman 4:1
27:8	11:2 22:9	mindful 13:2	14:22
left 12:23	magnified 14:24	mineola 27:23	note 17:21 18:16
legal 21:7 22:22	major 18:4	minor 15:15	noted 17:12,16
23:10 24:18,22	making 24:21	minutes 17:3	18:12
27:20	mandate 20:7	mittendorf 3:21	notice 16:1
letter 8:5	maniglio 7:6	mohan 10:10	noticed 15:17
letters 12:12	march 3:4,10,17	monitor 16:17	16:11
letting 26:1	3:23 4:4,10,15,22	19:20	november 13:15
level 11:16	5:4,9,16,21 6:4,10	monitoring 15:3,8	18:3
liability 21:8	6:16 11:11 13:24	month 12:16 13:2	number 17:19
22:23 24:23		17:8	18:19,22 19:2,7,7
liable 24:25	mark 10:1,6 20:21 20:24 21:19 22:15	monthly 19:14	19:11,11,14
light 17:1,7 20:3		morning 11:3,4,6	ny 2:3 9:6 27:23
likelihood 20:4	mark's 22:12	20:14 21:2	0
limine 12:2	marks 15:11	motion 13:3,5	
limited 1:20 10:2	marx 3:20	15:1 18:19,25	o 2:21 11:1 20:24
13:7	materials 24:7	21:5,11 22:21	22:15 27:1
line 19:10 21:2,19	matter 1:6,15	23:8,16,17,24,25	objection 16:11
21:20 22:12	12:7,8 13:12,20		25:10
	14:1 15:7 18:10	24:2,6,12,13,17	objections 18:18
liquidation 1:16	20:10,13 21:20	24:18,21 25:6,7	obviously 23:5
11:10	25:3	25:10,17,21,23	occur 12:4
listed 15:21,22	matters 15:13	motions 12:2	occurred 11:13
16:5,12	17:17 18:20 21:17	move 18:15,23	13:23
litigated 12:2,18	23:7,8	movement 18:14	occurs 18:3 21:9
llc 1:10,17	matthew 9:21	19:1,1	office 10:1
llp 3:2,21 4:19	22:12	moving 17:10	okay 16:18 22:11
5:12 6:8 7:20 8:6	mean 23:3	munari 7:6	23:2 24:9 25:14
9:18	means 19:1	n	25:19,23 26:7
local 21:13	mediation 12:25	n 9:1 11:1 27:1	old 27:21
longer 21:10	17:17 18:23	n.w. 9:12	omnibus 18:18
look 19:9 23:6	mediator 17:17	near 13:5	opac 18:25
looks 17:9	memorandum		_
loser 11:24		necessary 3:3,22	open 22:12
losers 20:6	21:6,12 22:22	4:21 5:15	opened 21:20
lost 14:12	mention 15:10	neiburg 20:14,15	operator 20:20,23
lundy 25:9	18:11	21:15,24 25:12,12	21:1,18 22:2,5,11
lunn 9:21 25:13	mentioned 12:6	25:15,21	oral 13:15
7.21 23.13	merkin 11:20,21	net 11:24 20:6	order 14:15 18:13
	michael 9:22	never 17:6	20:8 21:14 25:20
	20:15 22:13 25:12	new 1:2 2:3 9:6	25:20
Veriteyt Legal Solutions			

[orseck - rendered] Page 6

1 67	141 10 10	. 1 400	. 01.
orseck 6:7	permitting 12:12	previously 4:23	raises 21:6
ought 24:21	phone 20:13,19	6:21 7:3,8,12,17	raising 19:2
outside 11:15 23:7	20:22 21:23 22:20	7:22 8:2	reached 11:19
24:7	26:2	principle 18:21	13:14
overall 14:8	picard 1:16 9:9	prior 6:22 7:3,8	ready 13:4
oversight 19:15	20:16 21:20	7:13,18,22 8:3	really 22:18 26:8
p	piccard 9:19	probably 23:17	reasonable 18:1
p 9:1,1 11:1	pine 10:3	24:13 26:3	reasons 24:13
p.a. 10:1	pitkowitz 4:7	proc 8:7	receive 20:5,6
page 19:7,9,10	places 15:23 16:1	procedural 13:6	received 13:2 19:4
panfili 7:6	16:4	proceedings	rechtsanwalte 6:1
paragraph 19:8	plaintiff 1:8,18	18:17,21 22:10	rechtsnwalte 4:7
19:10	plantation 10:4	26:10 27:4	recommendation
partial 3:24	play 25:4	professional 6:19	17:14,15 19:6
participated 12:4	plaza 9:5	7:16	20:7
particularly 15:7	pleadings 23:7	professionals 11:8	recommendations
parties 13:14,18	24:8	profit 13:21	19:24
16:2,11 20:13	please 11:2	progress 12:22	reconciles 19:14
partner 21:8,10	pllc 6:13	16:20	record 17:21 27:4
22:23,23 23:10,15	point 15:15,18,19	proof 18:18	records 19:21
24:5,20,21,24	15:23 16:8 17:14	property 11:23	recover 12:9,10
partners 11:21	17:24,25 21:10	14:11	14:10
partnership 1:20	24:25	prosecuting 14:23	recoveries 14:7
7:2	ponzi 14:13 18:19	15:4	refer 12:19
party 15:3	portion 3:11,18	protection 1:7	reference 19:8
party 13.3 patrick 10:10	4:5,11,16,22 5:4	9:11 22:6,9	refile 25:17
payment 16:3,6	5:10,16,22 6:4,11	provide 24:3	regard 18:15 19:6
pc 6:20	6:16,21 7:3,8,12	provided 24:22	regarding 18:7
pending 12:21	7:17,21 8:2	putting 18:25	reimbursement
13:13	position 19:19	q	3:3,9,16,22 4:3,9
penny 16:17	positive 14:6	question 18:12	4:20 5:14
percent 14:12	possibilities 17:13	22:2	relate 14:23
16:2,6,6,16 17:16	19:2	questions 16:20	related 11:8,21
17:25 18:3 19:2,4	possibly 26:5	19:25	22:6,8
20:6	post 13:23 25:20	19.23	release 3:10,17,24
period 11:11,13	posture 13:6	r	4:4,11,16,22 5:4
11:14,15,18 12:1	prejudgment 18:8	r 2:21 9:1 11:1	5:10,16,22 6:4,10
12:8,20,21 13:11	prejudice 21:5,11	20:24,24 22:15,16	6:16,21 7:3,7,12
14:20 15:21 16:21	22:21 23:25 25:11	27:1	7:17,21 8:2
18:23	25:16	r&r 18:9,13	relevant 24:2
	present 10:8	rain 26:5	remaining 13:6
periods 6:22 7:4,9	prevail 24:19	raise 24:6	rendered 3:3,9,16
7:13,18,22 8:3		raised 22:22	3:22 4:3,9,15,20

[rendered - today] Page 7

	I	I	
5:3,9,14,21 6:3,9	ruling 23:20	significantly	successful 14:7
6:15	24:10	12:18	sufficient 23:10
representative	russell 6:7	sine 18:25	25:18
21:22	S	sipc 16:12,13	suggesting 24:17
represents 14:11	s 9:1 10:1,3,6 11:1	17:14,22 19:6,16	suggestion 23:22
14:16	20:21,24	19:19	suite 9:13 10:3
request 3:24	sauber 6:8	sipc's 20:6	27:22
16:22 17:2	sauber 6:8 sca 4:13	sir 21:1	summary 24:6,22
require 25:5		sixth 3:20	supposed 12:3
required 21:12	scaletta 6:13	smb 1:3,4,13 8:5	sure 24:19
reserves 14:14	schedule 24:12	solutions 27:20	swain 18:13
resolve 12:24	scheduled 13:18	sonya 8:25 27:3,8	t
resolved 12:18,22	scheme 14:13	soroker 4:1 14:22	
14:15	schierscher 6:1	sorry 24:15	t 27:1,1
respect 15:16	schiltz 3:7,7	southern 1:2	tail 18:8
25:23	scott 7:15	spaulding 6:20	taken 11:17
respectfully 16:22	seated 11:2	special 3:7,14 4:1	takes 19:19
respond 23:17	seconds 17:3	4:8,13,19 5:2,7,12	taylor 4:18 8:6
24:12	sections 17:23	5:19 6:2,8,14,20	9:18 20:15
response 20:3	securities 1:7,10	7:2,7,11,16,20 8:1	telephonic 8:5
result 14:8,13	1:17 9:11 22:6,9	spent 13:9 19:15	21:14
resulted 11:22	see 16:4 17:25	stand 12:23 16:25	telephonically
return 11:6	18:11 19:1,10	stand 12.23 10.23 standard 17:23	9:21,22 10:6,8
	seek 13:7		ten 18:20
review 19:16,18 reviewed 19:18	seeking 12:9 16:3	stargatt 4:18 8:6 9:18 20:15	term 13:5
	sense 20:5 22:8		text 19:8
reviews 19:16	services 3:3,9,15	stated 20:24 24:13	thank 16:18,23
right 13:12 16:24	3:22 4:3,9,15,20	states 1:1 2:1	20:8,9 21:15
20:18 21:4,16	5:3,9,14,21 6:3,9	statute 17:15,22	25:19,24,25 26:1
22:1,14 24:23	6:15	18:2 19:3 20:7	26:7,8,9
25:25 26:3	set 17:23 24:12	stayed 21:24	theory 24:18
ritter 6:1	settle 12:24	street 9:12	there's 24:23
road 10:3 27:21	settlement 11:19	stuart 2:22	think 15:18 18:14
robbins 6:7	11:22 12:5,5	submit 20:7 21:6	18:24 24:1,17,21
rockefeller 9:5	18:20	21:12,14 23:2	25:1,15
roher 10:1,6	seventh 3:1	24:7 25:20	third 15:3
20:18,21 21:5,19	shea 2:25	submitted 22:21	time 11:18 12:8
22:14,15,15 23:2	sheehan 10:11	23:7	13:10 14:20 17:14
23:12,19,23 24:9	significant 11:12	substitute 22:13	17:24,25 18:23
24:15 25:1,19,24	11:17 12:7,14	25:13	19:15,21 21:2
26:1,5,8	13:22 14:7,20	success 16:20	22:12,24,25
ruled 23:4	17:16 18:6 21:7	successes 11:15	today 12:23 14:9
rules 21:13	22:22	11:17 14:7	16:4 17:1 25:22
			1017.1 20.22

[top - you're] Page 8

		<u> </u>
top 19:10	untereiner 6:8	X
total 15:20	V	x 1:5,12,14,22
transcribed 8:25	v 1:9,19	
transcript 27:4	various 15:23	y 1 22 4 22 6
transfer 21:9	17:22	yeah 22:4 23:6
22:24 23:1	veritext 27:20	year 13:19 14:25
transfers 23:15	versus 21:21	17:19
tremendously	victims 11:24	yesterday 18:7
17:20	14:12,16 19:3	york 1:2 2:3 9:6
trial 12:3 13:4,21	vigano 8:1	young 4:18 8:6
13:22,23		9:18 20:11,15
tried 18:12	W	21:23
true 27:4	w 22:16	you're 24:22
truman 3:14	want 17:4 20:1	
trust 21:21	23:16,16,25 24:11	
trustee 1:16 3:1,8	24:15 25:2,20	
3:15 4:2,8,14,19	wanted 15:17,19	
5:2,8,13,20 6:2,8	16:9	
6:14,20 7:2,7,12	washington 9:14	
7:16,21 8:2 9:4,19	way 23:4 25:6	
11:5,8,9,19 12:1,9	we've 14:9	
12:10,15 14:8,10	week 18:3	
14:15,22 15:3	weekly 12:25	
20:16 23:17 24:11	wen 1:20 10:2	
25:2,18	20:13,16 21:21	
trustee's 14:1	22:7	
trying 25:3,4	went 16:1	
twenty 3:1,20	werder 8:1	
two 14:23 22:5	wherefore 19:12	
u	williams 5:1 15:2	
u.s. 2:23	windels 3:20	
u.s. 2.25 uggc 5:7	14:19 15:11,16	
ugge 3.7 uk 12:13 15:6	19:6	
	wishes 18:1	
ultimately 15:18 um 20:22	withdraw 23:24	
um 20:22 umbrella 22:9	24:17 25:7,15	
umbrena 22:9 understand 19:22	withdrawal 13:21	
	25:10	
23:12 24:9,10	withdrawn 25:21	
25:1	witnesses 24:4	
undertaking	wolter 7:15	
12:14	work 11:14 12:11	
united 1:1 2:1		